SUFFOLK COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE (SCCC) ENTERING STUDENT SURVEY – 2001

DIRECTORY

Section 1: Goals Section 2: Methods

Measures and Materials

Data Collection

Response Rate

Sample

Section 3: Results

Demographic Information

Basic Demographic Information, Table 1.

(age group, gender, ethnicity, marital status, minor children)

Demographic Information – Financial Support and Needs, Table 2.

(number of people supported by student or student's parents, family income, hours/week employed, time attending classes, parents graduated with BA, school prior to SCCC)

Financial Aid Information, Table 3

(no financial aid, NYS TAP, PELL, Federal SEOG, Federal Work Study, NYS Aid for Part-time study, Stafford Student Loan)

Goal Commitment and Institutional Commitment Information, Table 4.

Why SCCC?

Why Student Entered SCCC, Table 5

Reasons Student Entered SCCC, Table 6

(major reason, minor reason, not a reason)

Student Rating of SCCC, Table 7

Important Issues in Choosing SCCC, Table 8

Influential Issues in Choosing SCCC, Table 9

Ranking of SCCC, Table 10

Sources of Financial Support Used for Education at SCCC, Table 11

Situation Prior to Entering SCCC, Table 12

Suffolk County Community college (SCCC) Entering Student Survey – 2001 Section 1: Goals of the study.

The goals of this study are to: a) obtain information on students entering SCCC for the first time, b) identifying the reasons students choose to enroll at SCCC, c) describe the educational and career goals of entering students, and d) ascertain the role of socioeconomic status and financial support in determining educational goals and making academic decisions.

Section 2: Methods.

Measures and Materials, The SCCC Office of Institutional Effectiveness (formerly the Office of Institutional Research and Assessment) designed a survey questionnaire to meet study goals and objectives. The instrument captures general demographic data (i.e., age, sex, ethnicity, marital status, whether or not the student is the parent or guardian of minor child/ren, campus of student matriculation – Eastern, Selden, Grant), more specific financial support and needs based demographics (i.e., gamily income, number of people supported by the household, hours of employment, enrollment in day or evening classes, type of schooling prior to present enrollment, and whether or not parents obtained bachelors degrees), and financial aid information. The instrument also assesses student goal commitment and certainty of purpose/choice, student perception of the quality of SCCC, and affinity of attitudes/values with SCCC, as well as the practical value of earning a degree at SCCC, and the potential impact of situational influences on getting a degree. It further evaluates student reasons for choosing, SCCC. The majority of this survey instrument was modeled after the American College Testing Program – Student Opinion survey, a form which has been shown to have both reliability and validity (Baliga, 1990; Mittelholtz & Noble, 1993). The Goal Commitment and Institutional Commitment scales were borrowed from Allen & Nora (1995) and Nora & Cabrera (1993) respectively.

The complete survey package contained questionnaires, a return envelope, a set of instructions for the teacher to follow in presenting the study and administering the survey, and a letter from the Office of Institutional Research, which teachers read aloud to the students, as per instructions, describing the purpose of the study and inviting students to participate.

<u>Data collection</u>. The study employed a survey methodology in which survey packages were distributed to instructors of daytime Freshman Seminar (CS15 and OS20) sections in the Fall 2001 Semester. Instructors read the letter of invitation and instruction to the students, had them fill out the questionnaires, collected the forms, and returned then in the provided envelope.

Response rate. Instructors of 132 daytime CS15 sections (73 Selden, 42, Grant, 17 Eastern) and eleven OS20 sections (7 Selden, 2 Grant, and 2 Eastern) received survey packages. Questionnaires were completed by 1640 students (478 Selden, 133 Eastern, 318 Grant) 711 students did not indicate campus. Of the returned questionnaires, 805 students provided valid ID numbers. From the ID numbers it was determined that these students were in fact first-time full-time students. Results of the study are based on these 805 students.

Sample. A demographic breakdown of the sample indicates that 56% of the respondents were female and 44% were male. The age of the respondents ranged from 15 to 54 with the highest proportion of students at all three campuses belonging to the "18-19" age group (87% over all three campuses). Minority students accounted for 21% of the sample (7% Black, 11% Hispanic/Latino, 2% Asian/Pacific Islander, less than 1% American Indian/Native Alaskan). Unmarried students represent 97% of the sample, and 4.2% of the sample are parents or guardians to minor children. For further breakdown of basic demographic information, see Table 1.

Needs and support demographics were examined to provide a more comprehensive description of the sample. Family income ranged from less than \$15,000 to \$65,000 or more annually. Within the sample, 73% of families earned \$30,000 or more annually (18% earned \$30,000 - \$44,999, 23% earned \$45,000 - \$64,999, and 32% earned \$65,000 or more). A majority of students' households (student or student's parents) supported fewer than four people (33% supported one person, 23% supported two people, and 19% supported three people). Although students in the sample carried full-time class schedules, only 11% were not employed. The largest proportion of students, 65%, worked part-time (5% worked 1-10 hours, 6% worked 11-15 hours, 16% worked 16-20 hours, 20% worked 21-25 hours, and 18% worked 26-30 hours). 80% of students in the sample attended classes during the day; less than 2% attended classes exclusively at night. Prior to entering SCCC, 96% completed only high school, 3% completed either a two-or four-year college, and less than 1% reported other schooling (i.e., military). Less than half of the students in the sample (34%) reported that their parents have bachelor's degrees. Table 2 displays a further breakdown of needs and support financial information.

Table 3 addresses the use of specific financial aid sources. New York State TAP offers assistance to the largest proportion of students (25%). PELL Grants assist 10% of students. Federal SEOG and Federal Work Study ease the financial pressure of schooling expenses for fewer students (6% and 2% respectively). Stafford Student Loans help provide for the education of 7% of students in this sample.

Section 3: Results.

Table 1. SCCC Entering Student Survey-1997 First-time Full-time Students-Basic Demographic Information

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	Under 18	.6%	3.9%	.9%	1.2%
	18-19	93.7%	76.5%	80.0%	86.6%
Age Group (n=695)	20-22	5.2%	11.8%	12.7%	8.7%
	23-24	.6%	0.0%	.9%	.6%
	25-34	0.0%	5.9%	3.6%	2.1%
	35-44	0.0%	0.0%	.9%	.3%
	45-54	0.0%	2.0%	.9%	.6%
01(005)	Female	52.3%	58.8%	59.1%	55.5%
Gender (n=695)	Male	47.7%	41.2%	40.9%	44.5%
	White, non-Hispanic	82.2%	84.3%	56.4%	74.0%
	Black, non-Hispanic	2.9%	9.8%	11.8%	6.9%
	Hispanic	4.6%	2.0%	24.5%	10.7%
Ethnicity (n=695)	Asian or Pacific Islander	2.3%	0.0%	.9%	1.5%
	American Indian or Native Alaskan	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	.6%
	Non-resident Alien	6.9%	3.9%	6.4%	6.3%

Marital Status (n=372)	Unmarried (single, divorced, widowed)	99.2%	95.2%	95.5%	97.3%
	Married	0.0%	4.8%	3.4%	1.9%
	Separated	.8%	0.0%	1.1%	.8%
Are you the parent or guardian of a child(ren) 18 years old or younger? (n=372)	Yes	1.5%	7.0%	6.8%	4.2%
	No	98.5%	93.0%	93.2%	95.8%

 $Table 2. \ SCCC\ Entering\ Student\ Survey-2001\ First-time\ Full-time\ Students-Demographic\ Information-Financial\ Support\ and\ Needs$

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	One	40.5%	24.4%	26.7%	33.3%
	Two	16.8%	26.8%	31.4%	23.3%
	Three	16.8%	19.5%	20.9%	18.6%
People supported by Student or Student's Parent's (n=363)	Four	9.2%	12.2%	10.5%	10.1%
	Five	5.3%	7.3%	4.7%	5.4%
	Six	8.4%	4.9%	3.5%	6.2%
	Seven	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	.4%
	Eight	.8%	2.4%	1.2%	1.2%
	10 or more	2.3%	2.4%	0.0%	1.6%
	\$0,000 to \$14,999	10.8%	13.3%	15.4%	12.7%
	\$15,000 to \$29,999	10.8%	26.7%	13.8%	14.2%
Family Income (n=269)	\$30,000 to \$44,999	12.7%	23.3%	24.6%	18.3%
	\$45,000 to \$64,999	27.5%	13.3%	20.0%	22.8%
	\$65,000 or more	38.2%	23.3%	26.2%	32.0%
	None	10.9%	11.9%	10.5%	10.9%
	1 to 10	3.1%	7.1%	5.8%	4.7%
	11 to 15	6.3%	4.8%	7.0%	6.3%
Hours/Week Employed this Semester (n=363)	16 to 20	12.5%	21.4%	17.4%	15.6%
Trodistreek Employed this deficater (ii=500)	21 to 25	23.4%	16.7%	15.1%	19.5%
	26 to 30	19.5%	14.3%	18.6%	18.4%
	31 to 35	10.9%	7.1%	11.6%	10.5%
	36 to 40	7.8%	9.5%	7.0%	7.8%
	More than 40 hours	5.5%	7.1%	7.0%	6.3%
	Days	80.3%	74.4%	82.0%	79.9%
Time Attending Classes (n=373)	Evenings	1.5%	2.3%	1.1%	1.5%
	Both days and evenings	18.2%	23.3%	16.9%	18.6%
Descrite Conducted with DA (= 070)	Yes	34.6%	26.2%	37.1%	34.1%
Parents Graduated with BA (n=373)	No	65.4%	73.8%	62.9%	65.9%
	High School	96.0%	100.0%	95.1%	96.4%
School Prior to SCCC (n=348)	Four-year college	3.2%	0.0%	3.7%	2.8%
	Vocational or Technical School	.8%	0.0%	1.2%	.8%

Table 3. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students-Financial Aid Information

		Campus			Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
N 6 110 N 445	No	54.0%	66.7%	60.9%	58.2%
No financial aid 9 N=445)	Yes	46.0%	33.3%	39.1%	41.8%
NVO TAR (445)	No	82.2%	62.7%	70.0%	75.2%
NYS TAP (n=445)	Yes	17.8%	37.3%	30.0%	24.8%
PELL(n=445)	No	93.7%	82.4%	87.3%	89.9%
	Yes	6.3%	17.6%	12.7%	10.1%
_ , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	No	96.0%	94.1%	90.0%	93.7%
Federal SEOG (n=445)	Yes	4.0%	5.9%	10.0%	6.3%
	No	97.1%	100.0%	98.2%	97.9%
Federal Work Study (n=445)	Yes	2.9%	0.0%	1.8%	2.1%
	No	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NYS Aid for Part-Time Study (n=445)	Yes	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
0.5 10.1.1.1	No	94.8%	90.2%	91.8%	93.1%
Stafford Student Loan (FFELP) (n=445)	Yes	5.2%	9.8%	8.2%	6.9%

Table 4. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Goal Commitment & Institutional Commitment Information

		Campus			Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	Strongly disagree	.8%	0.0%	3.6%	1.6%
It is important for me to get a college degree.	Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	.4%
(n=341)	Neutral	1.7%	7.5%	6.0%	4.1%
	Agree	14.0%	7.5%	12.0%	12.3%
	Strongly agree	83.5%	85.0%	77.1%	81.6%
	Strongly disagree	5.7%	7.5%	6.1%	6.1%
It is important for me to finish my program of study. (n=335)	Disagree	3.3%	2.5%	2.4%	2.9%
	Neutral	8.1%	15.0%	6.1%	8.6%
	Agree	23.6%	12.5%	18.3%	20.0%
	Strongly agree	59.3%	62.5%	67.1%	62.4%
	Strongly disagree	.8%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%
I am strongly committed to achieving a college	Disagree	0.0%	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
degree. (n=329)	Neutral	10.0%	10.5%	3.8%	8.1%
	Agree	25.8%	28.9%	24.4%	25.8%
	Strongly agree	63.3%	55.3%	66.7%	63.1%
	Strongly disagree	2.5%	2.6%	2.4%	2.5%
I think getting a college degree is a good	Neutral	3.3%	5.3%	7.2%	5.0%
goal.(n=338)	Agree	25.6%	39.5%	15.7%	24.4%
	Strongly agree	68.6%	52.6%	74.7%	68.2%

	Strongly disagree	0.0%	2.6%	1.2%	.8%
	Disagree	3.3%	7.9%	0.0%	2.9%
When I commit to a goal, I achieve it. (n=332)	Neutral	30.8%	23.7%	37.3%	32.0%
	Agree	37.5%	42.1%	27.7%	34.9%
	Strongly agree	28.3%	23.7%	33.7%	29.5%
	Strongly disagree	2.4%	5.0%	4.8%	3.7%
	Disagree	5.7%	5.0%	2.4%	4.5%
I set goals for myself and achieve them. (n=341)	Neutral	25.2%	32.5%	24.1%	26.0%
	Agree	38.2%	30.0%	39.8%	37.4%
	Strongly agree	28.5%	27.5%	28.9%	28.5%
	Strongly disagree	6.7%	20.0%	15.0%	11.7%
am certain of what I want to major in (n=334)	Disagree	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	12.5%
ram certain of what I want to major in (n=334)	Neutral	26.7%	17.5%	18.8%	22.5%
	Agree	16.7%	12.5%	17.5%	16.3%
	Strongly agree	40.0%	35.0%	33.8%	37.1%
	Strongly disagree	12.4%	17.5%	17.2%	14.8%
am certain of my career plans (n=357)	Disagree	9.3%	10.0%	8.0%	9.0%
rain certain of my career plans (n=337)	Neutral	27.1%	15.0%	28.7%	25.8%
	Agree	18.6%	17.5%	20.7%	19.1%
	Strongly agree	32.6%	40.0%	25.3%	31.3%
	Strongly disagree	14.6%	17.5%	18.3%	16.3%
My close friends rate this institution as a quality	Disagree	10.6%	22.5%	13.4%	13.5%
institution (n=341)	Neutral	39.8%	40.0%	41.5%	40.4%
,	Agree	25.2%	7.5%	20.7%	20.8%
	Strongly agree	9.8%	12.5%	6.1%	9.0%
	Strongly disagree	2.4%	12.2%	3.6%	4.4%
I am satisfied with the prestige of this institution.	Disagree	4.8%	2.4%	6.0%	4.8%
(n=347)	Neutral	37.1%	46.3%	47.0%	41.9%
· ,	Agree	39.5%	31.7%	30.1%	35.1%
	Strongly agree	16.1%	7.3%	13.3%	13.7%
	Strongly disagree	2.4%	0.0%	1.3%	1.7%
Lam confident that I have made the right chaice in	Disagree	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	.8%
I am confident that I have made the right choice in attending this institution. (n=335)	Neutral	15.4%	16.2%	12.7%	14.6%
. ,	Agree	23.6%	35.1%	30.4%	27.6%
	Strongly agree	56.9%	48.6%	55.7%	55.2%
	Strongly disagree	4.2%	0.0%	3.7%	3.4%
I am appetain that this institution is the right chaice	Disagree	3.4%	5.3%	1.2%	2.9%
I am certain that this institution is the right choice for me (n=333)	Neutral	25.2%	21.1%	23.5%	23.9%
•	Agree	26.1%	39.5%	28.4%	29.0%
	Strongly agree	41.2%	34.2%	43.2%	40.8%
	Strongly disagree	3.3%	5.3%	1.2%	2.9%
My advection at this institution will habe me and inte	Disagree	3.3%	0.0%	3.7%	2.9%
My education at this institution will help me get into a prestigious four-year college/university. (n=333)	Neutral	18.0%	39.5%	24.4%	23.6%
a prestigious four-year college/university. (n=555)	Agree	32.8%	31.6%	29.3%	31.4%
					- 1 / 0

Table 5. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Why Student Entered SCCC

		Campus			Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
What is your primary adjugational objective at	Transfer to another college after earning degree/certificate	69.2%	64.3%	71.6%	69.2%
What is your primary educational objective at SCCC? (n=372)	Transfer to another college w/o earning degree/certificate	8.3%	7.1%	9.1%	8.4%
	Earn degree/certificate with plans for employment	6.0%	19.0%	6.8%	8.4%
	Uncertain	16.5%	9.5%	12.5%	14.1%

Table 6. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Reasons Student Entered SCCC

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
To meet the educational requirements for my chosen	Major reason	66.4%	63.2%	62.5%	64.5%
occupation. (n=331)	Minor reason	21.2%	21.1%	20.0%	20.8%
	Not a reason	12.4%	15.8%	17.5%	14.7%
	Major reason	68.2%	70.6%	82.4%	73.4%
To become a better-educated person (n=317)	Minor reason	30.0%	29.4%	13.5%	24.3%
	Not a reason	1.8%	0.0%	4.1%	2.3%
	Major reason	57.7%	69.4%	60.8%	60.6%
To increase my earning power(n=325)	Minor reason	32.4%	16.7%	24.1%	27.0%
re mercuse my cummig perior (iii e_e)	Not a reason	9.9%	13.9%	15.2%	12.4%
	Major reason	67.0%	62.2%	53.2%	61.3%
To qualify for a high-level, or different occupation	Minor reason	14.7%	13.5%	22.8%	17.3%
(n=327)	Not a reason	18.3%	24.3%	24.1%	21.3%
	Major reason	28.2%	26.3%	29.3%	28.3%
To develop independence from my parents (n=338)	Minor reason	33.3%	26.3%	32.9%	32.1%
To develop independence from my parente (iiii eee)	Not a reason	38.5%	47.4%	37.8%	39.7%
	Major reason	7.8%	11.1%	3.8%	6.9%
To be with friends who attend or will be attending this	Minor reason	25.0%	0.0%	20.0%	19.4%
college (n=334)	Not a reason	67.2%	88.9%	76.3%	73.7%
	Major reason	52.8%	64.5%	60.8%	57.4%
To develop my intellectual abilities (N=314)	Minor reason	34.9%	29.0%	27.8%	31.5%
To develop my intellectual abilities (N=314)	Not a reason	12.3%	6.5%	11.4%	11.1%
	Major reason	49.1%	26.5%	48.6%	45.4%
To study new and different subjects (n=315)	Minor reason	37.5%	44.1%	38.9%	39.0%
10 stady new and amerent subjects (n=010)	Not a reason	13.4%	29.4%	12.5%	15.6%
	Major reason	37.5%	42.1%	36.4%	37.9%
To develop my personal maturity (n=326)	Minor reason	33.9%	26.3%	35.1%	33.0%
	Not a reason	28.6%	31.6%	28.6%	29.1%
	Major reason	21.1%	20.0%	18.2%	19.9%
To meet new and interesting people (n=326)	Minor reason	50.9%	37.1%	41.6%	45.6%
To meet new and interesting people (ii-320)	Not a reason	28.1%	42.9%	40.3%	34.5%

	Major reason	24.3%	24.2%	16.7%	21.6%
To become a more cultured person (n=315)	Minor reason	37.4%	36.4%	34.6%	36.2%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Not a reason	38.3%	39.4%	48.7%	42.2%
T (1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Major reason	3.5%	7.9%	2.4%	3.8%
To find a spouse or significant other (n=344)	Minor reason	16.5%	5.3%	9.5%	12.2%
	Not a reason	80.0%	86.8%	88.1%	84.0%
	Major reason	6.1%	4.8%	4.8%	5.4%
To develop and use my athletic skills (n=344)	Minor reason	20.2%	9.5%	19.3%	18.0%
	Not a reason	73.7%	85.7%	75.9%	76.6%
	Major reason	12.2%	5.7%	11.0%	10.8%
To take part in the social life offered at college (n=333)	Minor reason	34.8%	20.0%	22.0%	28.0%
To take part in the coolar me chorea at conege (ii-coo)	Not a reason	53.0%	74.3%	67.1%	61.2%
	Major reason	30.0%	26.3%	25.6%	28.0%
Parents or relatives wanted me to continue my education (n=339)	Minor reason	35.0%	31.6%	26.9%	31.8%
ouddaileii (ii-see)	Not a reason	35.0%	42.1%	47.4%	40.3%
	Major reason	15.1%	12.8%	9.6%	12.9%
High school teacher/counselors suggested that I continue my education (n=345)	Minor reason	32.8%	28.2%	30.1%	31.1%
	Not a reason	52.1%	59.0%	60.2%	56.0%
0 11 1/5 1 - 41 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 6	Major reason	4.9%	4.9%	3.5%	4.4%
Couldn't find anything better to do at this time (n=355)	Minor reason	10.7%	7.3%	5.8%	8.4%
	Not a reason	84.4%	87.8%	90.7%	87.1%

Table 7. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Student Rating of SCCC

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	Poor	3.3%	2.6%	2.6%	2.9%
Academic qualifications of students (n=341)	Fair	9.1%	5.1%	7.7%	8.0%
. ,	Good	40.5%	56.4%	41.0%	43.3%
	Very Good	30.6%	25.6%	30.8%	29.8%
	Excellent	16.5%	10.3%	17.9%	16.0%
	Poor	5.3%	2.9%	4.0%	4.5%
Academic reputation (n=326)	Fair	10.5%	5.9%	8.0%	9.0%
	Good	35.1%	44.1%	37.3%	37.2%
	Very Good	32.5%	29.4%	38.7%	34.1%
	Excellent	16.7%	17.6%	12.0%	15.2%
	Poor	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	1.8%
Information I have read or seen about Suffolk	Fair	10.3%	13.9%	8.0%	10.1%
(n=335)	Good	44.4%	36.1%	40.0%	41.7%
	Very Good	29.1%	38.9%	40.0%	34.2%
	Excellent	13.7%	11.1%	10.7%	12.3%
	Poor	5.4%	0.0%	5.2%	4.5%
Prestige (n=322)	Fair	15.2%	24.2%	14.3%	16.2%
	Good	44.6%	54.5%	48.1%	47.3%
	Very Good	25.9%	21.2%	28.6%	26.1%
	Excellent	8.9%	0.0%	3.9%	5.9%

	Poor	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	.9%
Value (Cost/Benefit) (n=338)	Fair	3.2%	5.6%	5.4%	4.3%
value (Gost/Deffett) (II=550)	Good	27.4%	27.8%	27.0%	27.4%
	Very Good	24.2%	36.1%	31.1%	28.2%
	Excellent	43.5%	30.6%	36.5%	39.3%
	Poor	1.7%	0.0%	4.0%	2.2%
	Fair	1.7%	2.6%	5.3%	3.0%
ize of classes (n=332)	Good	20.5%	21.1%	18.7%	20.0%
	Very Good	37.6%	39.5%	29.3%	35.2%
	Excellent	38.5%	36.8%	42.7%	39.6%
	Poor	1.7%	0.0%	2.5%	1.7%
Size of enrollment (n=337)	Fair	1.7%	2.7%	5.1%	3.0%
oize of emoliment (n=557)	Good	32.8%	32.4%	34.2%	33.2%
	Very Good	40.3%	48.6%	31.6%	38.7%
	Excellent	23.5%	16.2%	26.6%	23.4%
	Poor	1.7%	2.9%	2.6%	2.2%
Student diversity (n=332)	Fair	6.1%	5.7%	6.5%	6.2%
	Good	40.0%	42.9%	26.0%	35.7%
	Very Good	27.0%	31.4%	18.2%	24.7%
	Excellent	25.2%	17.1%	46.8%	31.3%
	Poor	3.5%	0.0%	1.3%	2.2%
Social opportunities (n=333)	Fair	10.4%	15.2%	8.9%	10.6%
Coolai opportamiles (11=000)	Good	33.9%	60.6%	39.2%	39.6%
	Very Good	33.0%	24.2%	35.4%	32.6%
	Excellent	19.1%	0.0%	15.2%	15.0%
	Poor	3.5%	2.9%	3.9%	3.5%
	Fair	12.2%	17.1%	14.5%	13.7%
Out-of-class activities (n=328)	Good	47.0%	57.1%	36.8%	45.1%
	Very Good	22.6%	14.3%	34.2%	25.2%
	Excellent	14.8%	8.6%	10.5%	12.4%
	Poor	8.5%	5.6%	3.9%	6.5%
Attractiveness of campus (n=334)	Fair	10.2%	19.4%	13.2%	12.6%
7ao70pao (00)	Good	38.1%	30.6%	32.9%	35.2%
	Very Good	27.1%	27.8%	38.2%	30.9%
	Excellent	16.1%	16.7%	11.8%	14.8%
	Poor	1.7%	0.0%	2.6%	1.7%
Friendly campus community (n=337)	Fair	10.7%	12.1%	14.3%	12.1%
the contract of the contract o	Good	31.4%	30.3%	39.0%	33.8%
	Very Good	39.7%	36.4%	27.3%	35.1%
	Excellent	16.5%	21.2%	16.9%	17.3%
	Poor	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	.5%
Quality of academic programs (n=325)	Fair	6.2%	2.9%	5.6%	5.5%
	Good	40.7%	44.1%	43.1%	42.0%
	Very Good	36.3%	41.2%	34.7%	36.5%
	Excellent	16.8%	11.8%	15.3%	15.5%

Good 25.6% 26.5% 24.0% 25.2% Very Good 41.0% 50.0% 41.3% 42.5% Excellent 23.9% 11.8% 21.3% 21.2%						
Good 25.5% 26.5% 24.0% 25.2% Very Good 41.0% 50.0% 41.3% 42.5% Excellent 23.9% 11.8% 21.3% 21.2% Poor 2.6% 0.0% 1.3% 1.7% Fair 12.0% 13.5% 7.6% 10.7% Good 31.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% Very Good 35.0% 32.4% 30.4% 33.0% Excellent 18.8% 10.8% 22.8% 18.9% Poor 8.% 0.0% 1.3% 8.8% Fair 5.8% 2.5% 5.1% 5.0% Good 15.0% 27.5% 20.5% 18.9% Very Good 30.8% 42.5% 34.6% 34.0% Excellent 47.5% 27.5% 38.5% 41.2% Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338) Fair 8.2% 2.7% 9.5% 7.7% Good 33.8% 32.4% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 30.8% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 30.8% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 36.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 26.2% 35.1% 35.1% 30.5% Excellent 23.0% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5% Fair 6.7% 5.4% 8.2% 7.0% Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Overall impression of the college (n=337) Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8% Very Good		Poor	2.6%	0.0%	4.0%	2.7%
Good 25.6% 26.5% 24.0% 25.2% Very Good 41.0% 50.0% 41.3% 42.5% Excellent 23.9% 11.8% 21.3% 21.2%	Convenient class schedules (n=329)	Fair	6.8%	11.8%	9.3%	8.4%
Excellent 23.9% 11.8% 21.3% 21.2%	(,	Good	25.6%	26.5%	24.0%	25.2%
Poor 2.6% 0.0% 1.3% 1.7% fair 12.0% 13.5% 7.6% 10.7% Good 31.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% Very Good 35.0% 32.4% 30.4% 33.0% Excellent 18.8% 10.8% 22.8% 18.9% Opportunity for transfer to four-year college (n=342) Poor .8% 0.0% 1.3% .8% Fair 5.8% 2.5% 5.1% 5.0% 6.0% 18.9% Very Good 30.8% 42.5% 34.6% 34.0% 18.9% Very Good 30.8% 42.5% 34.6% 34.0% 18.9% Excellent 47.5% 27.5% 20.5% 18.9% Very Good 30.8% 42.5% 34.6% 34.0% Excellent 47.5% 27.5% 33.5% 41.2% Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338) Fair 8.2% 2.7% 9.5% 7.7% Good 38.5%		Very Good	41.0%	50.0%	41.3%	42.5%
Fair 12.0% 13.5% 7.6% 10.7% Good 31.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% Very Good 35.0% 32.4% 30.4% 33.0% Excellent 18.8% 10.8% 22.8% 18.9% Poor 8% 0.0% 1.3% 8% Fair 5.8% 2.5% 5.1% 5.0% Good 15.0% 27.5% 20.5% 18.9% Very Good 30.8% 42.5% 34.6% 34.0% Excellent 47.5% 27.5% 38.5% 41.2% Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338) Fair 8.2% 2.7% 9.5% 7.7% Good 38.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 38.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 26.2% 35.1% 35.1% 30.5% Excellent 23.0% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5% Fair 6.7% 5.4% 8.2% 7.0% Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Overall impression of the college (n=337) Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8% Very Good		Excellent	23.9%	11.8%	21.3%	21.2%
Cood 31.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 35.6% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0% 33.0% 43.2% 38.0% 33.0%		Poor	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	1.7%
(n=337)	Opportunity for involvement in campus activities	Fair	12.0%	13.5%	7.6%	10.7%
Excellent 18.8% 10.8% 22.8% 18.9%		Good	31.6%	43.2%	38.0%	35.6%
Poor		Very Good	35.0%	32.4%	30.4%	33.0%
Fair 5.8% 2.5% 5.1% 5.0% 18.9% 1		Excellent	18.8%	10.8%	22.8%	18.9%
Opportunity for transfer to four-year college (n=342) 3.6% 2.5% 3.1% 3.5% Very Good 15.0% 27.5% 20.5% 18.9% Very Good 30.8% 42.5% 34.6% 34.0% Excellent 47.5% 27.5% 38.5% 41.2% Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338) Fair 8.2% 2.7% 9.5% 7.7% Good 38.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 26.2% 35.1% 35.1% 30.5% Excellent 23.0% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5% Fair 6.7% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5% Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Overall impression of the college (n=337) Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Overy Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4%		Poor	.8%	0.0%	1.3%	.8%
(n=342)		Fair	5.8%	2.5%	5.1%	5.0%
Excellent		Good	15.0%	27.5%	20.5%	18.9%
Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338) Poor		Very Good	30.8%	42.5%	34.6%	34.0%
Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338) Fair 8.2% 2.7% 9.5% 7.7% Good 38.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 26.2% 35.1% 35.1% 30.5% Excellent 23.0% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5% Poor .8% 0.0% 0.0% .4% Fair 6.7% 5.4% 8.2% 7.0% Very Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Overall impression of the college (n=337) Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Excellent	47.5%	27.5%	38.5%	41.2%
Good 38.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8%		Poor	4.1%	0.0%	1.4%	2.6%
Good 38.5% 56.8% 36.5% 40.8% Very Good 26.2% 35.1% 35.1% 30.5% Excellent 23.0% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5% Poor .8% 0.0% 0.0% .4% Fair 6.7% 5.4% 8.2% 7.0% Overy Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Poor 0.0% 2.8% 1.3% .9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%	Opportunity for student-faculty interaction (n=338)	Fair	8.2%	2.7%	9.5%	7.7%
Excellent 23.0% 5.4% 17.6% 18.5%	opportunity for conductive accept	Good	38.5%	56.8%	36.5%	40.8%
Poor 8% 0.0% 0.0% .4% Fair 6.7% 5.4% 8.2% 7.0% Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Poor 0.0% 2.8% 1.3% .9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Very Good	26.2%	35.1%	35.1%	30.5%
Fair 6.7% 5.4% 8.2% 7.0% Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Poor 0.0% 2.8% 1.3% .9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Excellent	23.0%	5.4%	17.6%	18.5%
Quality of faculty (n=332) Good 32.8% 40.5% 32.9% 34.1% Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Poor 0.0% 2.8% 1.3% .9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Poor	.8%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%
Very Good 37.0% 45.9% 43.8% 40.6% Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9% Poor 0.0% 2.8% 1.3% .9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Fair	6.7%	5.4%	8.2%	7.0%
Excellent 22.7% 8.1% 15.1% 17.9%	Quality of faculty (n=332)	Good	32.8%	40.5%	32.9%	34.1%
Poor 0.0% 2.8% 1.3% .9% Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Very Good	37.0%	45.9%	43.8%	40.6%
Fair 5.1% 0.0% 3.8% 3.9% Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Excellent	22.7%	8.1%	15.1%	17.9%
Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%		Poor	0.0%	2.8%	1.3%	.9%
Good 29.7% 41.7% 32.1% 32.3% Very Good 46.6% 52.8% 47.4% 47.8%	Overall impression of the college (n=337)	Fair	5.1%	0.0%	3.8%	3.9%
1 10.070 02.070 11.170 11.170	,	Good	29.7%	41.7%	32.1%	32.3%
Excellent 18.6% 2.8% 15.4% 15.1%		Very Good	46.6%	52.8%	47.4%	47.8%
		Excellent	18.6%	2.8%	15.4%	15.1%

Table 8. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Important Issues in Choosing SCCC

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	Not important	9.6%	2.9%	10.4%	8.8%
Small class size (n=332)	Somewhat important	24.3%	37.1%	15.6%	23.3%
	Moderately important	27.8%	42.9%	32.5%	31.7%
	Very important	38.3%	17.1%	41.6%	36.1%

	Not important	43.9%	33.3%	28.8%	37.1%
Racial/ethnic make-up of student body (n=310)	Somewhat important	29.0%	46.7%	23.3%	29.5%
, (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Moderately important	22.4%	20.0%	30.1%	24.8%
	Very important	4.7%	0.0%	17.8%	8.6%
	Not important	27.5%	62.1%	29.2%	32.9%
Extracurricular activities (n=309)	Somewhat important	45.0%	20.7%	34.7%	38.1%
,	Moderately important	16.5%	6.9%	20.8%	16.7%
	Very important	11.0%	10.3%	15.3%	12.4%
	Not important	5.1%	6.1%	1.3%	3.9%
Convenient location (n=333)	Somewhat important	13.7%	18.2%	7.7%	12.3%
,	Moderately important	31.6%	30.3%	26.9%	29.8%
	Very important	49.6%	45.5%	64.1%	53.9%
	Not important	7.8%	5.7%	3.9%	6.1%
Offered the courses/programs I wanted (n=333)	Somewhat important	8.6%	11.4%	15.6%	11.4%
	Moderately important	27.6%	31.4%	27.3%	28.1%
	Very important	56.0%	51.4%	53.2%	54.4%
Low cost of attending (n=326)	Not important	0.0%	5.9%	2.7%	1.8%
	Somewhat important	7.6%	2.9%	8.0%	7.0%
	Moderately important	22.0%	14.7%	21.3%	20.7%
	Very important	70.3%	76.5%	68.0%	70.5%
	Not important	5.8%	5.4%	2.6%	4.7%
Could work while attending (n=337)	Somewhat important	9.1%	16.2%	12.8%	11.4%
	Moderately important	24.0%	13.5%	23.1%	22.0%
	Very important	61.2%	64.9%	61.5%	61.9%
	Not important	3.5%	0.0%	8.5%	4.6%
Good academic or vocational reputation (n=317)	Somewhat important	29.8%	20.6%	25.4%	26.9%
	Moderately important	40.4%	50.0%	46.5%	43.8%
	Very important	26.3%	29.4%	19.7%	24.7%
	Not important	15.4%	21.2%	12.2%	15.2%
Liked the social atmosphere (n=328)	Somewhat important	27.4%	42.4%	41.9%	34.4%
	Moderately important	41.9%	24.2%	24.3%	33.5%
	Very important	15.4%	12.1%	21.6%	17.0%
	Not important	15.0%	5.7%	12.3%	12.7%
Liked the size of the college (n=323)	Somewhat important	15.9%	28.6%	26.0%	21.3%
	Moderately important	43.4%	45.7%	34.2%	40.7%
	Very important	25.7%	20.0%	27.4%	25.3%
	Not important	6.8%	10.8%	1.3%	5.6%
Good chance for personal success (n=331)	Somewhat important	11.1%	13.5%	18.2%	13.9%
. , ,	Moderately important	39.3%	40.5%	41.6%	40.3%
	Very important	42.7%	35.1%	39.0%	40.3%

	Not important	12.0%	18.9%	16.0%	14.5%
Availability of financial aid or scholarships	Somewhat important	19.4%	18.9%	20.0%	19.5%
(n=324)	Moderately important	35.2%	21.6%	14.7%	25.9%
	Very important	33.3%	40.5%	49.3%	40.0%
	Not important	9.1%	14.3%	17.9%	12.8%
Advice of family (n=337)	Somewhat important	33.1%	22.9%	21.8%	27.8%
, (a. 221)	Moderately important	32.2%	51.4%	39.7%	37.6%
	Very important	25.6%	11.4%	20.5%	21.8%
	Not important	17.9%	34.4%	27.8%	23.5%
Advice of high school personnel (n=321)	Somewhat important	34.2%	12.5%	27.8%	29.0%
The second of th	Moderately important	29.9%	43.8%	26.4%	30.8%
	Very important	17.9%	9.4%	18.1%	16.7%
	Not important	47.8%	72.4%	62.9%	55.9%
Wanted to be with friends (n=303)	Somewhat important	22.1%	17.2%	21.0%	21.1%
	Moderately important	15.9%	6.9%	9.7%	12.7%
	Very important	14.2%	3.4%	6.5%	10.3%

Table 9. SCCC Entering student Survey – 2001 First time Full-time Students – Influential Issues in Choosing SCCC

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	Not at all influential	42.6%	56.1%	59.8%	50.6%
Friends at SCCC (n=340)	Slightly influential	26.2%	24.4%	18.3%	23.3%
, ,	Moderately influential	18.0%	9.8%	13.4%	15.1%
	Very influential	13.1%	9.8%	8.5%	11.0%
	Not at all influential	38.2%	41.5%	49.4%	42.5%
High school teachers (n=343)	Slightly influential	33.3%	41.5%	24.1%	31.6%
3	Moderately influential	23.6%	12.2%	18.1%	19.8%
	Very influential	4.9%	4.9%	8.4%	6.1%
	Not at all influential	33.3%	34.1%	51.8%	39.7%
High school counselors (n=341)	Slightly influential	34.1%	39.0%	27.7%	32.8%
3	Moderately influential	26.0%	14.6%	10.8%	19.0%
riends at SCCC (n=340) igh school teachers (n=343) igh school counselors (n=341) igh school administrators (n=336)	Very influential	6.5%	12.2%	9.6%	8.5%
	Not at all influential	50.4%	46.3%	61.7%	53.5%
High school administrators (n=336)	Slightly influential	31.7%	41.5%	21.0%	29.8%
3	Moderately influential	12.2%	12.2%	7.4%	10.6%
	Very influential	5.7%	0.0%	9.9%	6.1%
	Not at all influential	10.6%	12.2%	25.6%	15.9%
Parents, spouse or relatives (n=339)	Slightly influential	24.4%	9.8%	17.1%	19.5%
, ,	Moderately influential	39.8%	58.5%	32.9%	40.7%
	Very influential	25.2%	19.5%	24.4%	24.0%

	Not at all influential	36.1%	51.2%	47.0%	42.3%
High school classmates (n=341)	Slightly influential	27.0%	19.5%	33.7%	28.0%
····g·· concer classification (ii-c · · ·)	Moderately influential	29.5%	14.6%	9.6%	20.3%
	Very influential	7.4%	14.6%	9.6%	9.3%
	Not at all influential	23.8%	31.7%	30.5%	27.3%
College publications (n=340)	Slightly influential	40.2%	36.6%	32.9%	37.1%
Concege publications (II=0-0)	Moderately influential	27.9%	22.0%	26.8%	26.5%
	Very influential	8.2%	9.8%	9.8%	9.0%
	Not at all influential	37.5%	57.5%	61.0%	48.8%
Alumni (graduate) of SCCC (n=336)	Slightly influential	29.2%	22.5%	20.7%	25.2%
(3)	Moderately influential	24.2%	12.5%	8.5%	16.9%
	Very influential	9.2%	7.5%	9.8%	9.1%
	Not at all influential	40.7%	34.1%	44.6%	40.9%
Campus visit (n=340)	Slightly influential	30.9%	31.7%	19.3%	27.1%
. ,	Moderately influential	20.3%	22.0%	25.3%	22.3%
	Very influential	8.1%	12.2%	10.8%	9.7%
	Not at all influential	56.3%	48.8%	65.9%	58.3%
College representative's visit to high school (n=337)	Slightly influential	23.5%	39.0%	19.5%	24.8%
	Moderately influential	14.3%	7.3%	8.5%	11.2%
	Very influential	5.9%	4.9%	6.1%	5.8%
	Not at all influential	59.8%	65.9%	69.9%	64.2%
Radio announcements (n=337)	Slightly influential	23.8%	22.0%	18.1%	21.5%
made ameanomone (n-sor)	Moderately influential	11.5%	12.2%	7.2%	10.2%
	Very influential	4.9%		4.8%	4.1%
	Not at all influential	53.7%	48.8%	56.8%	53.9%
Newspaper or magazine articles/advertisements	Slightly influential	26.4%	34.1%	25.9%	27.6%
(n=336)	Moderately influential	13.2%	17.1%	14.8%	14.4%
	Very influential	6.6%	0.0%	2.5%	4.1%
	Not at all influential	66.1%	58.5%	72.0%	66.8%
Phone call from college representative (n=337)	Slightly influential	15.7%	26.8%	14.6%	17.2%
	Moderately influential	13.2%	9.8%	11.0%	11.9%
	Very influential	5.0%	4.9%	2.4%	4.1%
	Not at all influential	61.7%	51.2%	62.7%	60.2%
Scholarship (n=336)	Slightly influential	16.7%	26.8%	10.8%	16.4%
,	Moderately influential	10.0%	9.8%	16.9%	12.3%
	Very influential	11.7%	12.2%	9.6%	11.1%
	Not at all influential	68.1%	69.2%	67.1%	67.9%
Honors program invitation (n=333)	Slightly influential	17.6%	20.5%	18.3%	18.3%
	Moderately influential	9.2%	10.3%	9.8%	9.6%
	Very influential	5.0%	0.0%	4.9%	4.2%
	Not at all influential	53.8%	50.0%	54.9%	53.5%
Campus tour (n=332)	Slightly influential	23.5%	27.5%	19.5%	22.8%
	Moderately influential	16.0%	20.0%	19.5%	17.8%
	Very influential	6.7%	2.5%	6.1%	5.8%

	Not at all influential	76.9%	84.6%	72.5%	76.7%
College CD ROM (n=333)	Slightly influential	7.4%	12.8%	16.3%	11.3%
Consign of them (in cos)	Moderately influential	11.6%	2.6%	7.5%	8.8%
	Very influential	4.1%	0.0%	3.8%	3.3%
Financial aid package (n=328)	Not at all influential	44.1%	28.2%	45.7%	42.0%
	Slightly influential	18.6%	25.6%	16.0%	18.9%
	Moderately influential	22.0%	12.8%	22.2%	20.6%
	Very influential	15.3%	33.3%	16.0%	18.5%
Open house (336)	Not at all influential	47.5%	37.5%	56.6%	49.0%
	Slightly influential	23.3%	37.5%	21.7%	25.1%
	Moderately influential	19.2%	20.0%	12.0%	16.9%
	Very influential	10.0%	5.0%	9.6%	9.1%
	Not at all influential	46.3%	37.5%	56.1%	48.1%
Information seminar (n=334)	Slightly influential	23.1%	37.5%	22.0%	25.1%
,	Moderately influential	24.8%	17.5%	12.2%	19.3%
	Very influential	5.8%	7.5%	9.8%	7.4%
College Web site (n=333)	Not at all influential	47.9%	38.5%	56.1%	49.2%
	Slightly influential	29.8%	30.8%	17.1%	25.6%
	Moderately influential	18.2%	17.9%	17.1%	17.8%
	Very influential	4.1%	12.8%	9.8%	7.4%

Table 10. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Ranking of SCCC

	·	Campus		Total	
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
What was your rating of SCCC at the time you applied for admission? (n=349)	First choice	61.6%	53.7%	58.5%	59.3%
	Second choice	26.4%	24.4%	20.7%	24.2%
	Third choice	3.2%	12.2%	12.2%	7.7%
	Fourth choice or lower	8.8%	9.8%	8.5%	8.9%

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table~11.~SCCC~Entering~Student~Survey-2001~First-time~Full-time~Students-Sources~of~Financial~Support~for~Education\\ at~SCCC\\ \end{tabular}$

	ut pece					
			Campus		Total	
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %	
		Col %	Col %	Col %		
	Major source of funds	23.1%	18.6%	29.5%	24.5%	
Personal earnings (n=364)	Minor source of funds	31.5%	34.9%	22.7%	29.1%	
3. (,	Not a source of funds	45.4%	46.5%	47.7%	46.4%	
	Major source of funds	29.0%	22.0%	28.9%	27.8%	
Personal savings (n=351)	Minor source of funds	26.6%	34.1%	24.1%	27.0%	
	Not a source of funds	44.4%	43.9%	47.0%	45.2%	
	Major source of funds	55.9%	39.5%	46.6%	50.0%	
Parents or relatives (n=361)	Minor source of funds	21.3%	20.9%	18.2%	20.2%	
t and the control (in control	Not a source of funds	22.8%	39.5%	35.2%	29.8%	
	Major source of funds	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	
Spouse (n=353)	Minor source of funds	3.9%	0.0%	4.7%	3.6%	
	Not a source of funds	93.7%	97.6%	92.9%	94.1%	

	Major source of funds	1.6%	0.0%	3.6%	2.0%
Veteran's benefits (n=354)	Minor source of funds	4.0%	0.0%	4.8%	3.6%
,	Not a source of funds	94.4%	100.0%	91.7%	94.4%
	Major source of funds	3.2%	4.9%	3.5%	3.6%
Social Security Benefits (n=349)	Minor source of funds	4.8%	4.9%	5.9%	5.2%
, ,	Not a source of funds	92.0%	90.2%	90.6%	91.2%
Student loans/bank loans (n=354)	Major source of funds	12.5%	20.0%	18.2%	15.6%
	Minor source of funds	10.2%	2.5%	9.1%	8.6%
	Not a source of funds	77.3%	77.5%	72.7%	75.8%
	Major source of funds	8.3%	16.3%	11.5%	10.6%
Scholarships (n=369)	Minor source of funds	5.3%	0.0%	5.7%	4.6%
. ,	Not a source of funds	86.5%	83.7%	82.8%	84.8%
	Major source of funds	24.4%	48.8%	26.7%	29.1%
State and/or Federal financial aid (n=362)	Minor source of funds	12.2%	14.6%	10.5%	12.0%
,	Not a source of funds	63.4%	36.6%	62.8%	58.9%
	Major source of funds	3.8%	2.4%	3.5%	3.5%
Reimbursement by employer (n=361)	Minor source of funds	7.7%	2.4%	4.7%	5.8%
	Not a source of funds	88.5%	95.2%	91.8%	90.7%

Table 12. SCCC Entering Student Survey – 2001 First-time Full-time Students – Situation Prior to Entering SCCC

			Campus		Total
		Ammerman	Eastern	Grant	Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	
	Entered directly from HS	82.4%	72.5%	64.6%	74.9%
Which of the following statements best describes your situation when you entered	Transferred from 4 yr college	3.2%	0.0%	3.7%	2.8%
	Entered after military service	.8%	0.0%	1.2%	.8%
	Entered after being out of HS 1+ yrs; working ft	12.8%	22.5%	25.6%	18.6%
	Entered after being out of HS 1+ yrs; raising family	.8%	5.0%	4.9%	2.8%